

# Representations of Lie groups and random matrices

joint work with Benoît Collins

Piotr Śniady

Polish Academy of Sciences  
and  
University of Wrocław

# Outline

*“big representations of the unitary groups  
behave like random matrices”*

explanation: *this happens because representation can be viewed  
as a random matrix (with quantum entries)*

## Representations of $U(d)$

we say that  $\Pi$  is a **representation** of the unitary group  $U(d)$   
if  $\Pi: U(d) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  for some vector space  $V$  is such that

$$\Pi(gh) = \Pi(g)\Pi(h),$$

---

we say that representation  $\Pi$  is **reducible**  
if  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  and  $\Pi = \Pi_1 \oplus \Pi_2$ ,

---

**irreducible representations of  $U(d)$**  are indexed by **highest weights**:  
tuples  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d)$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

notation:  $\epsilon\Lambda = (\epsilon\lambda_1, \dots, \epsilon\lambda_d)$  for  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

# Irreducible representations of $U(d)$ , examples

representation on symmetric tensors

$$\text{Sym}^k \mathbb{C}^d$$

is irreducible with  $\Lambda = (k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$

---

representation on antisymmetric tensors

$$\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^d$$

is irreducible with  $\Lambda = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{k \text{ times}}, 0, \dots, 0)$

# Representations of $U(d)$

let reducible representation  $\Pi$  of  $U(d)$  be given

$\Pi$  can be written as a sum of irreducible components

we define **random highest weight associated to  $\Pi$**  with distribution

$$P(\Lambda) = \frac{(\text{multiplicity of } \Lambda \text{ in } \Pi) \cdot (\text{dimension of } \Lambda)}{(\text{dimension of } \Pi)}$$

# Part 1.

representation theory of  $U(d)$   
 $d$  is fixed

# Problem: tensor product of representations

let  $\Pi^{(1)}, \Pi^{(2)}$  be irreducible representations of  $U(d)$

**Kronecker tensor product** is a representation  $\Pi^{(1)} \otimes \Pi^{(2)}$  of  $U(d)$  defined by

$$[\Pi^{(1)} \otimes \Pi^{(2)}](g) = [\Pi^{(1)}(g)] \otimes [\Pi^{(2)}(g)]$$

---

$$\Pi^{(1)} \otimes \Pi^{(2)} = ?$$

## Problem: tensor product of representations

let  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$

let  $(\Lambda_n^{(1)})$  and  $(\Lambda_n^{(2)})$  be two sequences of highest weights such that

$$\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(1)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(1)}, \quad \epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(2)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(2)}$$

let  $(\Pi_n^{(1)})$  and  $(\Pi_n^{(2)})$  be irreducible representations of  $U(d)$  corresponding to the highest weights  $(\Lambda_n^{(1)})$  and  $(\Lambda_n^{(2)})$

---

let  $\Lambda_n^{(3)}$  be the random highest weight associated to  $\Pi_n^{(1)} \otimes \Pi_n^{(2)}$

$$\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(3)} \rightarrow ?$$



# Tensor product of representations: solution

let  $A^{(1)}$  and  $A^{(2)}$  be independent, unitarily invariant hermitian  $d \times d$  random matrices with deterministic eigenvalues  $\Lambda^{(1)}$  and  $\Lambda^{(2)}$

---

## Theorem

$$\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\text{in distribution}} \text{eigenvalues of } A^{(1)} + A^{(2)}$$

# Quantum random variables

matrix algebra  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  can be viewed as  
 algebra of quantum random variables

mean value  $\mathbb{E}X = \frac{1}{k} \text{Tr } X = \text{tr } X$

if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are quantum random variables, their **joint distribution** is a collection of their **mixed moments**:

$$(\mathbb{E}X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_l})_{i_1, \dots, i_l}$$

# Spectral measure

**spectral measure:** for  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d)$  we set

$$\mu_\Lambda = \frac{\delta_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_d}}{d}$$

if  $\Lambda$  is a random weight then its spectral measure is a random probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$

in a similar way, spectral measure for random matrices

# Spectral measure of a quantum random matrix

if  $(A_{ij})$  is a  $d \times d$  random matrix then its spectral measure is a random probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} M_{k_1}(\mu) \cdots M_{k_l}(\mu) = \mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} A^{k_1} \cdots \operatorname{tr} A^{k_l},$$

where

$$M_k(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k d\mu(x)$$

# Spectral measure of a quantum random matrix

if  $(A_{ij})$  is a **quantum** random matrix then its spectral measure is a random probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} M_{k_1}(\mu) \cdots M_{k_l}(\mu) = \mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} A^{k_1} \cdots \operatorname{tr} A^{k_l},$$

where

$$M_k(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k d\mu(x)$$

# Sketch of proof

a representation of the Lie group  $\Pi : U(d) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$   
 gives a representation of the Lie algebra  $\pi : \mathfrak{u}(d) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$

---

$$\pi = \begin{bmatrix} \pi(e_{11}) & \cdots & \pi(e_{1d}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pi(e_{d1}) & \cdots & \pi(e_{dd}) \end{bmatrix}$$

can be viewed as a matrix with quantum entries

(spectral measure of  $\pi$ )  $\approx$  (random highest weight  $\Lambda$ )

# Sketch of proof

a representation of the Lie group  $\Pi : U(d) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$   
 gives a representation of the Lie algebra  $\pi : \mathfrak{u}(d) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$

---

$$\epsilon\pi = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon\pi(e_{11}) & \cdots & \epsilon\pi(e_{1d}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon\pi(e_{d1}) & \cdots & \epsilon\pi(e_{dd}) \end{bmatrix}$$

can be viewed as a matrix with quantum entries

(spectral measure of  $\epsilon\pi$ )  $\approx$  (random highest weight  $\epsilon\Lambda$ )

## Sketch of proof: asymptotic commutativity

assume that  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon\pi$  is bounded

$$[\pi_{ij}, \pi_{kl}] = (\delta_{jk} \pi_{il} - \delta_{li} \pi_{kj})$$

so  $\epsilon\pi$  converges (in distribution) to a matrix with commuting entries

this is the unitarily invariant random matrix with the distribution of eigenvalues given by the random highest weight  $\epsilon\Lambda$

---

$$\Pi^{(3)} = \Pi^{(1)} \otimes \Pi^{(2)}$$

implies

$$\epsilon\pi^{(3)} = \underbrace{\epsilon\pi^{(1)}}_{\approx A^{(1)}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \underbrace{\epsilon\pi^{(2)}}_{\approx A^{(2)}}$$



## Sketch of proof: asymptotic commutativity

assume that  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon\pi$  is bounded

$$[\epsilon\pi_{ij}, \epsilon\pi_{kl}] = \epsilon(\delta_{jk} \epsilon\pi_{il} - \delta_{li} \epsilon\pi_{kj})$$

so  $\epsilon\pi$  converges (in distribution) to a matrix with commuting entries

this is the unitarily invariant random matrix with the distribution of eigenvalues given by the random highest weight  $\epsilon\Lambda$

---

$$\Pi^{(3)} = \Pi^{(1)} \otimes \Pi^{(2)}$$

implies

$$\epsilon\pi^{(3)} = \underbrace{\epsilon\pi^{(1)}}_{\approx A^{(1)}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \underbrace{\epsilon\pi^{(2)}}_{\approx A^{(2)}}$$

## Sketch of proof: asymptotic commutativity

assume that  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon\pi$  is bounded

$$[\epsilon\pi_{ij}, \epsilon\pi_{kl}] = \epsilon(\delta_{jk} \epsilon\pi_{il} - \delta_{li} \epsilon\pi_{kj}) \rightarrow 0$$

so  $\epsilon\pi$  converges (in distribution) to a matrix with commuting entries

this is the unitarily invariant random matrix with the distribution of eigenvalues given by the random highest weight  $\epsilon\Lambda$

---

$$\Pi^{(3)} = \Pi^{(1)} \otimes \Pi^{(2)}$$

implies

$$\epsilon\pi^{(3)} = \underbrace{\epsilon\pi^{(1)}}_{\approx A^{(1)}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \underbrace{\epsilon\pi^{(2)}}_{\approx A^{(2)}}$$

# It is trivial!

toy example:

decomposition of tensor  
product of two irreducible  
representations of  $SO(3)$



addition of quantum angular  
momenta

---

classical limit:

$$\hbar \rightarrow 0$$

commutators vanish, we recover classical addition of angular  
momenta

## Part 2.

representation theory of  $U(d)$   
 $d \rightarrow \infty$

## Problem: tensor product of representations

let  $(\Pi_n^{(1)})$  and  $(\Pi_n^{(2)})$  be irreducible representations of  $U(n)$  corresponding to the highest weights  $(\Lambda_n^{(1)})$  and  $(\Lambda_n^{(2)})$

assume that

$$\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(1)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(1)}, \quad \epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(2)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(2)}$$

---

let  $\Lambda_n^{(3)}$  be the random highest weight associated to  $\Pi_n^{(1)} \otimes \Pi_n^{(2)}$

$$\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\text{in distribution}} ?$$

# Tensor product of representations: solution

let  $A_n^{(1)}$  and  $A_n^{(2)}$  be independent, unitarily invariant  $n \times n$  hermitian random matrices with deterministic eigenvalues  $\Lambda_n^{(1)}$  and  $\Lambda_n^{(2)}$

---

## Theorem

*assume that  $\epsilon_n n \rightarrow 0$*

*then*

- 1 *the spectral measure of  $\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(3)}$ ,*
- 2 *the spectral measure of  $A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(2)}$*

*are asymptotically Gaussian with the same mean and the same global fluctuations*

extension of Biane [1995]:  $\epsilon_n n^{\text{arbitrary number}} \rightarrow 0$ , law of large numbers

# Tensor product of representations: solution extended

I claim that if  $\mu_n$  is

- 1 the spectral measure of  $\epsilon_n \Lambda_n^{(3)}$ ,
- 2 the spectral measure of  $A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(2)}$ ,

and

$$M_{k,n} = \int x^k d\mu_n, \quad [M_{k,n}]_0 = M_{k,n} - \mathbb{E}M_{k,n}$$

then

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}M_{k,n}$  exists for every  $k \geq 1$ ,

$\left( n [M_{k,n}]_0 \right)_{k \geq 1}$  converges to a Gaussian distribution

and the limits are the same for both cases

## Sketch of proof

study unitarily invariant random matrices (with quantum entries)

find relationship between

- statistical properties of the spectral measure
- joint distribution of the entries of the matrix

if the non-commutativity of the entries is small, the matrix behaves like a non-quantum random matrix



# Higher-order free probability theory

Setup: algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of quantum random variables,  $a, b, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$   
 mean value  $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , covariance  $k_2 : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$A_n, B_n, \dots$  are random matrices of size  $n$

---

$(A_n, B_n, \dots) \rightarrow (a, b, \dots)$  means that

- mixed moments of  $A_n, B_n, \dots$  converge, for example

$$\mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} A_n B_n B_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E} a b b,$$

- mixed covariances of  $A_n, B_n, \dots$  converge, for example

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\operatorname{Tr} A_n B_n, \operatorname{Tr} B_n) \rightarrow k_2(a b, b),$$

- higher order cumulants of traces vanish quickly enough,

# Higher-order free probability theory

usual freeness and freeness of higher order

higher order freeness describes fluctuations of random matrices which are sufficiently random

nice combinatorial machinery: free cumulants and free cumulants of higher order

## Summary / open problems

- representation can be viewed as a random matrix with quantum entries
- (sometimes) the non-commutativity disappears
- asymptotically representation behaves like a usual random matrix
  
- can we use this idea to prove other connections between representations and random matrix theory?

# Advertisement



Greg Kuperberg.

Random words, quantum statistics, central limits, random matrices.

[Methods Appl. Anal.](#), 9(1):99–118, 2002



Benoît Collins, Piotr Śniady.

Representations of Lie groups and random matrices.

[Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.](#) 361 (2009), 3269-3287.



Benoît Collins, Piotr Śniady.

Asymptotic fluctuations of representations of the unitary groups.

[Preprint arXiv:0911.5546](#)